

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>CHRISTOPHER BAINBRIDGE AND KELLY BAINBRIDGE</b>	:	<b>No. 16-CV-00411</b>
	:	<b>(WJN)</b>
	:	
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC, Et al.</b>	:	
<b>Defendants</b>	:	

---

DEFENDANT, UDREN LAW OFFICES, P.C.’S, ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO  
PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT

---

Defendant, Udren Law Offices, P.C. (“Udren”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby answers in opposition to the Motion of Plaintiffs to “Amend their Complaint.” In support of this Answer, Udren relies upon the accompanying memorandum of law and referenced exhibit. Udren incorporates all of the facts, authority, definitions and exhibits supplied in their Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint with Prejudice. [Doc. 24]. A proposed form of Order denying Plaintiffs’ Motion to Amend is attached.

Dated: August 8, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

**UDREN LAW OFFICES, P.C.**

/s/ David Neeren

David Neeren

111 Woodcrest Road, Suite 200

Cherry Hill, NJ 08003

Tel (856) 669.5572

dneeren@udren.com

*Attorney for Udren Law Office, P.C.*